3/8/79

Introduced by:

Paul Barden
Ruby Chow
Tracy J. Owen
Patricia Thorpe

AURO

MOTION NO.

79 - 350

A MOTION relating to CETA Project Employment authorizing certain projects and personnel positions per the provisions of Ordinance 4121.

WHEREAS, by Ordinance 4121, approved March 5, 1979, the Council appropriated moneys to the various CETA funds, and

WHEREAS, by Ordinance 4121, the Council authorized the implementation of certain CETA Project Employment applications, those which specifically scored sixty or more points based on the Executive's recommended list of projects, and

WHEREAS, the issue of remaining CETA Project Employment funds to be allocated to previously submitted projects which had not scored at least sixty points, under the Executive's recommended list, was referred to a committee of the Council for further discussion, and

WHEREAS, the central issue involved in allocating remaining funds is whether point scores should be adjusted to result in the funding of County based organizations as compared to organizations based within the City of Seattle, a jurisdiction which has CETA funds in an amount comparable to those of the County, and

WHEREAS, at a meeting of the Committee-of-the-Whole, March 2, 1979, applicants who would have been funded under the Executive's recommendations, but not funded under the alternative proposal recommended by the Operations, Police and Judiciary Committee, argued that using the applicants organizational address as the sole determining factor in the Committee's awarding additional points was an arbitrary and unfair decision, and

WHEREAS, in an effort to expeditiously allocate remaining funds so as to accomplish the prime purpose of CETA, which is to provide skills training and work experience leading to unsubdized employment for the long-term unemployed, a compromise

- 1 -

 has been proposed, and

WHEREAS, the developed alternative recognizes that County based organizations, primarily providing manpower services to the citizens of King County outside the City of Seattle, would be awarded additional points over other applicant's requests, and

WHEREAS, the developed alternative recognizes the previously performed impartial review of the Selection Committee and the application criteria question which awarded from zero to ten points, based on the applicant's statements of the degree to which services would be offered outside the City of Seattle, and

WHEREAS, the developed alternative averaged the points scored by each applicant under the criteria question as a given percentage of the total points available (ten) then multiplied that percentage by a maximum total score adjustment of ten per cent and, finally, added that percentage of points to the total score previously stated under the Executive's recommendation, and

WHEREAS, the Council has been informed by the Executive administration that, due to the delay in the funding of specific projects and through judicious management, all authorized projects can be funded for the remainder of FY79 within the existing allocation, and

WHEREAS, the developed alternative is an equitable method of maintaining the Council's policy of favoring County based organizations in the allocation of CETA funds, through the utilization of previous information submitted as a result of the previously established CETA application process, and

WHEREAS, it is assumed that any further allocations of CETA funds requires the expressed approval of the Council,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

Under the provisions of Ordinance 4121, the projects listed on the attachment, made a part hereof by reference, are approved

and the Executive administration is authorized to begin to implement projects and positions.

PASSED this 19th day of March, 1919.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Chairman/

ATTEST:

Deputy (lerk of the Council

- 3 -